

## Covenant Feedback from Community Members

---

1  
2 Thanks for having the energy and willingness to take on such a major step toward improving the  
3 neighborhood. I know you will get a tremendous backlash, but you have to start somewhere. If nothing  
4 else, it brings these issues to the forefront and starts the dialogue. Even if nothing gets amended,  
5 maybe people will realize that if residents don't take it upon themselves to be good neighbors, someone  
6 else will.

---

7  
8 We do not agree with any of this new rules or suggestions. I think the neighborhood should be left alone  
9 as is. I've lived here for a long time and I have not seen anything wrong. Gladly everyone has kept their  
10 exterior of the house looking good. The only thing that bothers me at this moment is the planes flying  
11 solo through the neighborhood.

---

12  
13 I specifically bought a house in this neighborhood 19 years ago so that I can have a boat without having  
14 the risk and cost of keeping it at a marina or dry storage. Many others did the same including RVs and  
15 trailers.

16 I also bought due to less restrictive covenants. The existing covenants are not a secret and the  
17 existence of boats, RVs, etc. was obvious when you saw the house or looked at it on online maps. There  
18 were plenty of other houses in other neighborhoods that have restrictive covenants if that was your  
19 desire.

20 I agree with limits on commercial trailers and construction equipment except when work is being  
21 performed.

22 I don't agree with the business restriction. If the city/county have issued a license to operate a  
23 residential business they should handle any violations of the license.

24 The first paragraph of the leasing change isn't necessary. If the intent is to stop boarding houses then  
25 that is the only part that is needed.

---

26  
27 reviewed them twice and I believe they are fine as distributed,  
28

---

29  
30 The change to subparagraph (a)(7)(a)(1) does not allow for temporary visiting guest having RV's, boats  
31 or trailers per the original covenant. I think that should remain as an exception. A possible change  
32 would be to add to the exception a reasonable time frame. If this is not changed I will vote against this  
33 change.

34 Like many others here we moved here partly because there wasn't an overbearing HOA trying to tell us  
35 what color to paint the mailbox. With that said, here's my two cents on how I'll vote. Thanks in advance  
36 for the opportunity to give feedback.

37 Proposed Subparagraph (a)(4) (Rubbish) = Yes

38 Proposed Subparagraph (a)(7)(18) (Pets) = Yes

39 Proposed New Subparagraph (a)(7)(26) (Boarding house): Yes

40 The rest is a No for me.

---

41  
42 The changes are OK with us. But we need to especially adhere to putting speed bumps in neighborhood  
43 since most people use Foxhall as a runway. To fund some of the speed bumps we should raise our annual  
44 dues to \$100.

45  
46 Thank you all for the work you are doing to bring attention and revisions to our neighborhood  
47 covenants. In general I agree that we need to have them in place to provide a safe and pleasing  
48 neighborhood for all. I do not think it is a problem allowing boats and campers as long as they are  
49 contained on the paved driveway and all the home vehicles are able to park in the driveway. There  
50 should be no routine street parking to accommodate the additional parking needs for the boat/camper.  
51 Also the boats/campers should be in working order and not junk that is unable to be used. Trying to  
52 grandfather in current boats/campers creates a problem with tracking those and is the grandfathering  
53 for the household or for that specific boat/camper? I think these things should be allowed as long as  
54 they are functional, good working order and contained on the paved driveway as stated. Also having a  
55 company wrap on a business vehicle is not a problem as many have these cars/trucks for their use.  
56 There needs to be a restriction on size of the truck as box trucks or larger should not be allowed.  
57 I also support keeping our pets on leashes, cleaning up after our pets, etc.  
58 I am more concerned about the houses that are in a state of disrepair with rotting wood on  
59 porches/eaves, boarded windows, junk filled porches and driveways, unkempt lawns in constant need of  
60 attentions, wild vines growing all over the brick to the roof, etc. Some of these homes appear to be  
61 safety hazards and I worry about rodent populations, snakes, or even the house crumbling down.  
62  
63 Once again, thank you for the work you are doing. I do believe there are things we can do to improve  
64 our neighborhood as well as keep it as the friendly small jewel we are known to be.

---

65  
66 I'd like to provide feedback from my husband and I on the proposed changes. First of all, we do  
67 appreciate you taking the time to ask for everyone's input and we understand that there is absolutely no  
68 way to satisfy each homeowner. That being said, we do have some real problems with most of these  
69 changes:

70  
71 Campers, RVs, Trailers, Boats

72  
73 I see no reason to disallow these vehicles altogether. If well-kept they are not eye sores and contribute  
74 to the unique atmosphere of our community. I would suggest that these are allowed but must be kept  
75 in operable condition, and to include cars in this. This would eliminate dilapidated vehicles from being  
76 stored here.

77  
78 Commercial Vehicles, etc.

79  
80 I have no problem with work vehicles being kept here with the exception of tractor-trailers. Personal  
81 size vehicles with work signage (pick-up trucks, vans, cars, etc.) don't detract from the property in any  
82 way.

83  
84 Pets, Businesses

85  
86 No problems with this, I believe there are city regulations that these conform to.

87  
88 Leasing/Rentals

89

Covenant Feedback from Community Members

90 With the provision that short-term leases are not allowed I do not see a problem with allowing  
91 nonrelated people to live together. This seems unnecessarily interfering with people’s personal lives  
92 and situations. Unless we are planning to ask for a family tree if an issue arises its not enforceable. I’d  
93 also hate to think that if I had a friend going through a hard time they couldn’t live with me, it shouldn’t  
94 matter if this person is a distant cousin, sibling, or friend.

95  
96 Other

97  
98 I completely understand and agree with updating the covenants, but these proposals would change the  
99 atmosphere of this community. People in general love to live here because it has more character than  
100 virtually anywhere else in this area. We do not have the amenities available anywhere else, but we are  
101 happy to sacrifice those for the ability to live in a non “cookie cutter” neighborhood. We can achieve a  
102 better community without going so far as these changes would take us.

103  
104 I also do not think this is the appropriate time to make these changes. We haven’t been able to have a  
105 meeting in well over a year due to the pandemic, and these changes need to be able to be discussed in  
106 person. We also need something close to a full board first. Putting these changes to a vote before either  
107 of these things seems irresponsible not to mention a waste of time and money. I sincerely hope that the  
108 board waits before sending out the official ballot until after these things have been completed.

109  
110 Thank you for all your continued efforts in our community!

111  
112 Like many others here we moved here partly because there wasnt an overbearing HOA trying to tell us  
113 what color to paint the mailbox. With that said, heres my two cents on how I'll vote. Thanks in advance  
114 for the opportunity to give feedback.

115 Proposed Subparagraph (a)(4) (Rubbish) = Yes

116 Proposed Subparagraph (a)(7)(18) (Pets) = Yes

117 Proposed New Subparagraph (a)(7)(26) (Boarding house): Yes

118 The rest is a No for me.

119  
120 The change to subparagraph (a)(7)(a)(1) does not allow for temporary visiting quest having RV's, boats  
121 or trailers per the original covenant. I thing that should remain as an exception. A possible change  
122 would be to add to the exception a reasonable time frame. If this is not changed I will vote against this  
123 change.

124  
125 I wish you luck with changing the covenants and restrictions. If your intent was to ask for the most  
126 restrictive change and hope for a smaller compromise, such as keeping the offending vehicle(s) behind a  
127 fence, then you may well succeed. Stay strong.

128  
129