PLEASE do not pass any of these except the one about trash in yards.

PLEASE do not make this neighborhood like the ones around us.

Many people love this neighborhood because we are free and friendly... not uptight and overbearing. We will be voting NO for all of these if it comes to a vote.

Hi we don't agree with the proposed changes. My husband is a contractor and we have 2 businesses, each requiring a trailer. One of the things that attracted us to this neighborhood when we decided to buy a house here 2 years ago was because other places have such strict HOAs that we weren't able to have our trailers, both of which are essential to our businesses and our income. This neighborhood allowed us to do that.

The items under Campers and Commercial are too inclusive.

We have lived here since 1989 and have always seen campers and trailers in the neighborhood within reason. I think it should depend on size and, if stored on site they should be behind privacy fences or in garages.

 The same should apply to boats. I see no problem with boats of reasonable size parked on driveways or designated paved areas. I know of several addresses where boats or RVs have been parked on concrete pads behind fences over the years.

The definition of commercial vehicles is also too inclusive. This should also be based on size. Many residents drive company vehicles with door logos. Passenger vehicles, pickups, standard vans and SUVs should be okay. Larger vehicles and items I would describe as equipment or machinery should not be allowed.

I don't even have a problem with larger RVs for visitors of residents. Stays longer than a reasonable period should require a permit but 2 days seems short.

i do not agree with any of the suggested changes. Why are you trying to punish many of your neighbors? This neighborhood is perfect. I would suggest a more compassionate approach. Any of the preposed "banned" items should be allowed if stored in the back yard. I truly hope the residents of this neighborhood vote no to these ridiculous changes.

It appears unreasonable to grandfather an existing item, like a boat or trailer, instead of the property owner's right to have that item. What if a boat or trailer get sold or destroyed? It is the Property Owner's right to replace any of them at any time. Recording the existing boat or trailer and allowing only that one specific item to be grandfathered is ridiculous. It is the Property owner's right to have them on their lot that should be Grandfathered.

We are relatively new Hickory Hill residents. A large reason for us moving was to get away from overly crippling HOA covenants. This is largely why we purchased in Hickory Hill; because it is NOT Shadowmoss.

 My husband received approval for a second driveway addition specifically for our boat and his trailer. You approved this request. We have made every attempt to conceal both boat and trailer. I suggest you remove the "or any adjoining lot" verbiage from your proposed language. I understand that we will be grandfathered in, per the proposed changes, but now you are inhibiting his small business operations as he can never purchase a new trailer and keep on our property. I suggest you remove the "existing trailers/boats" language from your proposed changes.

07/28/2021

Part of the charm and what drew us to Hickory Hill was that the property value remained high without being like its overly restrictive neighbor. One only has to look at time on market and recent sale values to know that the 1996 covenants are not impacting property value or resale.

I am highly disappointed that this neighborhood is turning into exactly what we were trying to escape. I suggest you revisit these proposed changes and instead of only saying no to everything, come up with better solutions that work for all of your residents.

As a compromise concerning boats, campers...in driveways, could the requirement be that they are out of sight like next to or behind the house?

I am more concerned with the high rate of speed going through our neighborhood. I was almost hit at the stop sign at Fox Hall and Hunter Creek Drive. Although there's a speed limit sign at the entrance of the neighborhood, no one seems to honor it. There is no reason for driving 35- 40 miles an hour in the neighborhood. Children, neighbors and their animals walk and play on these streets everyday. Speed humps should be considered.

My husband received approval for a second driveway addition specifically for our boat and his trailer. You approved this request. We have made every attempt to conceal both boat and trailer. I suggest you remove the "or any adjoining lot" verbiage from your proposed language. I understand that we will be grandfathered in, per the proposed changes, but now you are inhibiting his small business operations as he can never purchase a new trailer and keep on our property. I suggest you remove the "existing trailers/boats" language from your proposed changes.

Part of the charm and what drew us to Hickory Hill was that the property value remained high without being like its overly restrictive neighbor. One only has to look at time on market and recent sale values to know that the 1996 covenants are not impacting property value or resale.

I am highly disappointed that this neighborhood is turning into exactly what we were trying to escape. I suggest you revisit these proposed changes and instead of only saying no to everything, come up with better solutions that work for all of your residents.

Would the change to commercial vehicles being restricted apply to a company pickup truck I am required to use for work being parked in the driveway. It is not a registered commercial vehicle but does have a company wrap on it. The wording in the change makes it sound like I would not be allowed this company vehicle and not be allowed to work with the company.

I feel pick up trucks and cars should be allowed to be parked in driveways as long as it's not a box truck

91 I feel pic92 of sorts.

I'm not a big fan of many of these changes. I will preface my feedback by acknowledging that I'm generally not a fan of greater 'government' intervention in daily activities. That said, I understand the impetus and intent of these changes. The following addresses many of my thoughts on the proposed

 With regard to the RVs, boats, and trailer changes, Hickory Hill has been an oasis of sanity compared to many surrounding neighborhoods, up to this point. We live in Charleston. It is unreasonable to think that as a property owner, I should have to find a alternative location for my recreational vehicle....especially boats. I understand that existing boats are grandfathered, but that is a short-sighted accommodation. If I decide I'd like to upgrade my boat, or wish to sell my home to another boat owner, I'm now out of luck. I disagree that such a situation is an improvement to the neighborhood.

The language on the "single family provision" is arguably redundant..."related by blood, marriage, or operating as a single family unit"....if offering the "operating as a single family unit" condition, why bother mentioning blood or marriage? This says nothing of my distain for a governing organization seeking to define who I may or may not live with in a property that I own; nevermind my complete lack of desire in

07/28/2021

updates to the covenants:

debating what constitutes "operating as a single family unit."

I understand the intent of the paragraph addressing short-term rentals. I do not agree with a minimum 12-month lease requirement. This topic is typically addressed by not permitting rentals of less than 30 days, as opposed to mandating a minimum 12-month lease.

I also do not agree with the home business restriction. Property use (i.e. zoning) is an issue already governed by the city. If folks are running afoul of existing zoning, that seems like something that should be addressed by them, not our HOA.

I can buy into the revisions that clarify what defines an "enclosed structure" as well as a revision to the pet paragraph that tightens the leash requirement.

Despite my disagreement with many of the proposals, I'm am grateful for the time and effort the volunteers of the board are contributing for the good of the neighborhood. Thank you very much for everything you all do.

Regarding: Proposed Subparagraph (a)(7)(a)(3):

I do not think the prohibition of watercraft in this neighborhood is appropriate. Many of the driveways have been expanded to allow for boat storage/RV storage. Rather than prohibiting boat storage, I would like to see something that requires it to be maintained if visible from the street. Or no prohibition at all.

Regarding Proposed New Subparagraph (a)(7)(26):

I do not believe the wording of this paragraph is appropriate. If the intent is to block short term rentals/boarding houses, then the paragraph should be written that way, references to blood relation/marriage are unnecessary and while you do have the "acting as a family unit" portion, I fear that the language you have chosen here could be used against people living in certain situations that are not AirBNB/Boarding house type scenarios.

The language on the "single family provision" is arguably redundant..."related by blood, marriage, or operating as a single family unit"....if offering the "operating as a single family unit" condition, why bother mentioning blood or marriage? This says nothing of my distain for a governing organization seeking to define who I may or may not live with in a property that I own; nevermind my complete lack of desire in debating what constitutes "operating as a single family unit."

I understand the intent of the paragraph addressing short-term rentals. I do not agree with a minimum 12-month lease requirement. This topic is typically addressed by not permitting rentals of less than 30 days, as opposed to mandating a minimum 12-month lease.

I also do not agree with the home business restriction. Property use (i.e. zoning) is an issue already governed by the city. If folks are running afoul of existing zoning, that seems like something that should be addressed by them, not our HOA.

I can buy into the revisions that clarify what defines an "enclosed structure" as well as a revision to the pet paragraph that tightens the leash requirement.

Despite my disagreement with many of the proposals, I'm am grateful for the time and effort the volunteers of the board are contributing for the good of the neighborhood. Thank you very much for everything you all do.

I wanted to respond to the proposed changes based on the letter we received and the summary posted to this website. Our family will not be supporting the majority of the updates. One of the reasons we bought

 a home in this neighborhood was the less restrictive HOA. We loved the idea of being able to have a boat in the future, and my husband also drives a commercial vehicle (for a federally contracted job). We would not be able to store his daily vehicle anywhere else. It is a newer truck, and it does not seem to bother anyone. If anything, he has been able to help give neighbors advice since they can see what he does for a living. Also, we have many neighbors on our street that have boats and RVs. They have never been an issue for us, and everyone around us keeps them clean and off the street. We may support some updates to the language if it was addressing issues that make the neighborhood unsafe or look bad. For example, if RVs or boats were used as storage of trash or other items instead of regular recreational use, or if vehicles are parked on the street causing a safety issue. I would prefer the proposed changes address what the issues actually are, rather than restrict owners that are responsible in taking care of their property. Thank you for considering my input.

Appreciate all the hard work.. I will be voting, Yes, Yes, Yes and Yes.

Thanks for your Hard Work. You all have a thankless job and are to be commended. I hope all of these items pass and the neighborhood sees their importance.

When you address the house that has wood covering the windows on Doncaster and looks like it's falling in, then you can address trailers and campers. What about the house that looks like a castle? Those types of dwellings make our neighborhood look terrible. What about folks that park cars in the yards? Also, how do we know that the voting will not be tampered with and that all the votes will count and that there will not be duplicates and those that vote are truly in the neighborhood? Just saying. Will there be a meeting where they are counted if front of us?

Please see the comments below regarding the proposed new rules. I am in favor of rules that protect our investments; however, I will not vote in favor of rules aimed at a few bad apples that restrict the enjoyment of far more.

Campers, RVS, Trailers, Boats - Personal utility trailers (including log splitters, trailers attachable to riding mowers, etc.) should be permitted within fenced back yards, out of sight. Boats and other watercraft in usable/working condition should be allowed to be stored within fenced back yards, mostly out of sight. They should also be allowed to be on driveways for maintenance, loading and unloading, not to exceed 2 days.

Pets - Pets must be under control of the owner while outside of dwelling on the owners property and on a leash in the community, off of the owners property (i.e. I will not vote for a rule that punishes owners of well behaved pets who play with their pets on their unfenced property).

Leasing - This rule is poorly worded and could be interpreted that you are prohibited from having out of town, non-relatives, visit over night.

Sorry for the second message but I just happened to see our existing covenants and restrictions. Many of the new proposed rules are already included in our existing covenants and restrictions in some form and, among others, are apparently not being enforced. Nothing in the proposed new covenants changes the ability to enforce if that is the true goal as stated in your letter. I suggest that you present a redlined version of our existing covenants and restrictions showing changes and additions and consequences for violations. I also suggest that we have an outside lawyer review.

A good start, but I am disappoint that my change did not even get on the list for a vote. I think the addition of this item would bring it into the same compliance as the county and DNR regulations for pollution. We just have a few slobs that live on the ponds that are making a mess. When I purchased my home on the big pond back in 1994 the water was really good quality. Now it is to often pee green. A lot from yard waste, but after Halloween there were pumpkins floating in the pond. All this has to distill and that requires oxygen. That can result in a fish kill. Id the HOA going to pay for the removal of hundreds of dead fish?

Is there going to be a public meeting to discuss these proposed changes? We are newish to the neighborhood and have never had an opportunity to attend an HO A meeting. It would be nice to hear a forum discussion instead of ugly comments on the NextDoor app.

221

222

Good evening

227 228

I agree that our covenants are out of date and need refreshing. Thanks to the board for working hard to make the community a better place.

229 230

My opinion on what was mailed

231

Are:

232 233

Campers RVs Trailers Boats

Commercial vehicles

234 235

I do not agree with these not being permitted. My feeling is they are fine as long as they are not huge RVs and boats.

236 237

Not everyone will be able to store them in the back yards. If they were able would the HHPCA be willing to allow taller fences to hide them? (currently height is 6 foot)

238 239

I agree that large trucks and tractors should not be allowed.

240 241

Some people drive company vehicles straight from home to the job site and back. A company perk maybe. Maybe a necessity.

242 243 244

I feel a pick up truck, car or van with a company logo should be allowed. This is that person's livelihood.

245

The above takes us on a very fine line. What should or should not be allowed?

246 247

I agree with pets on leash or lead. I am fine with the business portion.

248 249

I am on board with the lease and rental section.

250 251

I am in total agreement with the trash section!

252 253 254

I am very interested in hearing other's thoughts on the Campers and commercial vehicle piece. I think there will be plenty folks opposing these.

255 256 257

Just my thoughts

258 259

Again thanks for your efforts team!

261 262 263

260

I would like to view the full text of the proposed changes. Also there should be a public meeting to allow for full discussion

264 265 266

Overall the covenant changes seem reasonable. However, following are some comments regarding a couple of the proposed covenant changes:

267 268 269

Campers...

270 271 2. Regarding trailers-we've had several maintenance projects over the years such as installing hardwood floors, new roof, remodel bathrooms, etc. where the contractor parked a trailer in our driveway while working. This item seems to exclude all trailers period.

272 273

Commercial Vehicles...

274 275

276

This item seems unreasonable. I worked 30 years for a utility and was fortunate to have a company vehicle after wearing out several personal vehicles driving offroad. Cars should be allowed and pickups should be allowed if not loaded down with visible tools or equipment. I agree that true "commercial"

07/28/2021 5 vehicles such as aerial lifts, 1 ton plus service trucks etc. should not be allowed. Many people in our community drive cars and pickups with a door emblem. I will not vote for this provision as written.

All for enforcing existing rules. Why can't we?

Does the entity"hickory hill plantation, INC, still exist?

Do we have a RE attorney involved?

To the HHPCA Board of Directors,

We are in receipt of your 15 July letter regarding the proposed changes to our covenants.

Understanding your "mandate" is from a survey result, we would appreciate learning more about the actual survey results.

Our family, representing two homes, did not received this survey nor were we polled to participate.

Questions:

- 1. Campers, RV's, Trailers, Boats will the HHPCA provide a storage area for prohibited items that are not grandfathered?
- 2. Leasing both of our homes are leased on minimum 12 month leases to either blood family or an appropriately screened single family. This proposed change appears to focus on multiple family leasing. Can this be clarified to allow single family leases?
- 3. Rentals this is confusing with item #1 above. Does this mean that a 12 month term rental is acceptable? Please clarify. If so, this line item should state so.
- 4. Once the vote is taken and new changes take place what is the method of enforcement?

Comments:

 1. Organizing the vote and ballot by provision is very helpful and we support this methodology.

2. In general we agree that there are certain provisions that need to be changed to fit the time however we bought our homes and raised our children in this neighborhood for several reasons. One of them was the limitation the HOA had on mandating how we lived and what we did with and on our property. We will be voting against many of these provisions out of principle of limiting the control of the HOA.

HHPCA Board of Directions.

 We received and reviewed the "Reader's Digest" version of the proposed changes to the Covenants, Please email us the full red-lined version, preferably as a Word document.

Good morning,

I wanted to respond to the proposed changes based on the letter we received and the summary posted to this website. Our family will not be supporting the majority of the updates. One of the reasons we bought a home in this neighborhood was the less restrictive HOA. We loved the idea of being able to have a boat in the future, and my husband also drives a commercial vehicle (for a federally contracted job). We would not be able to store his daily vehicle anywhere else. It is a newer truck, and it does not seem to bother anyone. If anything, he has been able to help give neighbors advice since they can see what he does for a living. Also, we have many neighbors on our street that have boats and RVs. They have never been an issue for us, and everyone around us keeps them clean and off the street. We may support some updates to the language if it was addressing issues that make the neighborhood unsafe or look bad. For example, if RVs or boats were used as storage of trash or other items instead of regular recreational use, or if vehicles are parked on the street causing a safety issue. I would prefer the

proposed changes address what the issues actually are, rather than restrict owners that are responsible in taking care of their property. Thank you for considering my input.

Hello, I am writing in response to the most recent letter that outlines new suggested mandates for our community. I feel that these mandates are far overreaching for this neighborhood and I am very concerned to read that this is what our governing body is putting their effort into. I moved from Carolina Bay to this neighborhood because I felt this neighborhood had a good community and the HOA wasn't overreaching.

This community has never appeared to be the type of community that would complain about where a neighbors boat was parked. I have not felt this to be issue and don't believe most in this neighborhood feel this way either. This neighborhood also supports a class of people who may have a work vehicle that they drive to and from work. Is this a problem that is really affecting another person's life to an extent that they are going to force another individual to have to park their work vehicle elsewhere? Could you imagine how that might affect that individual? The only thing this letter outlines that I may support is the mandate to avoid RVs from remaining on lots permanently. Aside from that I feel the governing body is spending too much time writing mandates on things that are not a problem. I feel it is too early for a vote and that a HOA meeting should be called to discuss these matters. I would also like to know the individuals who were involved in determining the proposed mandates?

I appreciate your time in listening to my concerns and look forward to you feedback. Thanks,

My main concern are the junkyards on people's front lawn. Personally I don't remember voting on the recreation changes. Like I said this isn't a country club neighborhood. Seems like so much regulations are unnecessary, I realize it's just my opinion. Like I previously stated I've never met these board members wish I had before we voted. I still feel these rules should be heard from neighbors in person not hiding behind computer screens. Please don't take my comments personal. I know from being in the garden club all these years it's hard to get neighborhood partication. I am starting to feel like Joe Biden is in control! Don't judge me! Ha! Ha!

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for persisting with these proposals: I vote ves, ves, ves and Yes.